1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

STEVE C. EDGECOMB,

v.

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C15-1660-MAT

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting

Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING PARTIAL EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Defendant filed the parties' third unopposed motion for extension of time (Dkt. 18), requesting a 28-day extension of the due date in which to file the Responsive Brief. This is defendant's second request for a 28-day extension. (Dkt. 15) The Court's previous Order granted the full amount of time requested by defendant, set the Responsive Brief deadline for July 15, and advised the parties that no further extensions would be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. (Dkt. 17) The Court will therefore review this request with that warning in mind.

Defendant's counsel's declaration states that he is participating in a training exercise the week of July 11-15. The Court notes the training exercise comes at the very end of the 28-day extension previously granted to defendant, but defendant offers no explanation for why the brief could not be prepared during the preceding three weeks of the extension period. Additionally,

ORDER GRANTING PARTIAL EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE PAGE - 1

Case 2:15-cv-01660-MAT Document 20 Filed 07/13/16 Page 2 of 2

counsel states he is scheduled for family leave the following week (July 18-22), but this is after the deadline for the Responsive Brief and has no bearing on whether counsel could meet the current deadline.

Having considered the stipulation, and the entire docket in the case, the Court does not find that defendant has shown extraordinary circumstances. The Court is not willing to grant defendant a second, consecutive, 28-day extension. However, the Court will GRANT a shorter extension of the briefing schedule, with the new deadlines as follows:

Defendant's Responsive Brief: July 29, 2016

Plaintiff's optional Reply Brief: August 12, 2016

The parties should note that no additional extensions will be granted. If a truly extraordinary circumstance, such as an unforeseen medical or family emergency, arises, any party seeking extension should be prepared to provide the Court with a thorough explanation of the circumstance.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED this 13th day of July, 2016.

ORDER GRANTING PARTIAL EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE PAGE - 2